

An Inequality for Schur Functions

MARVIN MARCUS AND HENRYK MINC*

University of California

Santa Barbara, California

ABSTRACT

If H is a subgroup of the symmetric group of degree n and χ is a complex character on H of degree 1, then the Schur function for H and χ is defined by

$$d_{\chi}^H(Y) = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \chi(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n y_{i\sigma(i)}$$

for any n -square matrix $Y = (y_{ij})$.

It is shown that, if A_1 is a positive definite matrix, A_2 a positive semidefinite nonzero matrix, and μ_1, μ_2 complex numbers, then

$$|d_{\chi}^H(\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2)| \leq d_{\chi}^H(|\mu_1| A_1 + |\mu_2| A_2).$$

Other inequalities relating functions of $\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2$ and of $|\mu_1| A_1 + |\mu_2| A_2$ are also obtained.

1. STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

Let H be a subgroup of order h of S_n , the symmetric group of degree n , and let χ be a character of degree 1 on H . Then, for $Y = (y_{ij})$, an n -square matrix, let

$$d_{\chi}^H(Y) = \sum_{\sigma \in H} \chi(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n y_{i\sigma(i)}, \tag{1}$$

the generalized matrix function of Schur [3].

* The work of both authors was supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR 698-67.

In what follows, μ_1 and μ_2 are nonzero complex numbers, A_1 is an n -square positive definite hermitian matrix, and A_2 is an n -square positive semidefinite hermitian matrix. We indicate this with the notation $A_1 > 0$, $A_2 \geq 0$. In general, $X \geq Y$ will mean that $X - Y$ is positive semidefinite hermitian.

Our first result follows.

THEOREM 1. *If $A_1 > 0$ and $A_2 \geq 0$ ($A_2 \neq 0$), then*

$$|d_x^H(\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2)| \leq d_x^H(|\mu_1| A_1 + |\mu_2| A_2). \quad (2)$$

If $A_2 > 0$, then equality holds in (2) if and only if $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$. If $\chi \equiv 1$, the condition $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$ is necessary and sufficient for equality in (2), even if A_2 is singular.

In the case that $H = S$, $\chi = \text{sgn}$, $d_x^H = \det$, the inequality (2) is due to W. M. Frank [1].

In order to state our next result concerning S -functions, we require some combinatorial notation. For $1 \leq m \leq n$, let $\Gamma_{m,n}$ be the totality of sequences $\omega = (\omega(1), \dots, \omega(m))$, $1 \leq \omega(i) \leq n$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. We say that $\omega \sim \tau$ if and only if there exists $\sigma \in H$ such that $\omega\sigma = (\omega(\sigma(1)), \dots, \omega(\sigma(m))) = \tau$. For fixed m, n , and H we denote by Δ a system of distinct representatives for \sim , so chosen that each element of Δ is first lexicographically in its equivalence class in $\Gamma_{m,n}$. For $\omega \in \Delta$, let $H_\omega = \{\sigma \in H \text{ and } \omega\sigma = \omega\}$, i.e., H_ω is the stabilizer of ω . We let $\bar{\Delta}$ be the subset of Δ consisting of those ω for which

$$\sum_{\sigma \in H_\omega} \chi(\sigma) = \nu(\omega) \neq 0.$$

In other words (since χ is a character of degree 1), $\bar{\Delta}$ is the set of ω for which χ is identically 1 on H_ω . If X is any n -square complex matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, define

$$f_{H,\chi}(X) = \sum_{\omega \in \bar{\Delta}} \prod_{t=1}^n \lambda_t^{m_t(\omega)}, \quad (3)$$

the S -function of the eigenvalues defined by H and χ . (The integer $m_t(\omega)$ is the number of times t occurs in ω .) For example, if $H = S_m$ and $\chi \equiv \varepsilon$, then $\bar{\Delta} = Q_{m,n}$ is the set of strictly increasing sequences of

length m chosen from $1, \dots, n$ and $f_{H,\chi}(X)$ becomes the m th elementary symmetric function $E_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of the numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Again, if $H = S_n$, $\chi \equiv 1$, then $\mathcal{A} = \bar{\mathcal{A}} = G_{m,n}$ is the set of nondecreasing sequences of length m chosen from $1, \dots, n$ and $f_{H,\chi}(X)$ is the m th completely symmetric function $h_m(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

Our second result is contained in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If $A_1 > 0$, $A_2 \geq 0$ ($A_2 \neq 0$), and $\mu_1\mu_2 \neq 0$, then*

$$|f_{H,\chi}(\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2)| \leq f_{H,\chi}(|\mu_1| A_1 + |\mu_2| A_2). \quad (4)$$

Equality can hold in (4) if and only if $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we have:

COROLLARY 1. *If A and B are hermitian, $A + B \geq 0$, $B \geq A$ ($B \neq A$), then*

$$d_x^H(A) \leq d_x^H(B). \quad (5)$$

If $\chi \equiv 1$ and $A + B > 0$, then the inequality (5) is strict.

If $\lambda^n - p_1(X)\lambda^{n-1} + p_2(X)\lambda^{n-2} \pm \dots + (-1)^n p_n(X)$ is the characteristic polynomial of an n -square matrix X , we immediately can conclude from Theorem 2 that:

COROLLARY 2. *If $A_1 > 0$, $A_2 \geq 0$ ($A_2 \neq 0$), and $\mu_1\mu_2 \neq 0$, then*

$$|p_m(\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2)| \leq p_m(|\mu_1| A_1 + |\mu_2| A_2), \quad m = 1, \dots, n. \quad (6)$$

Equality can hold in (6) if and only if $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$.

2. PROOFS

Preliminary to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 we will require the following lemma, which may be of independent interest. Denote the largest singular value of a matrix X [i.e., the largest eigenvalue of $(XX^*)^{1/2}$] by $\alpha_1(X)$.

LEMMA 1. *Assume that $A_1 > 0$ and $A_2 \geq 0$. If $A = \mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2$ and $S = |\mu_1| A_1 + |\mu_2| A_2$, then*

$$\alpha_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}) \leq 1 \quad (7)$$

with equality if and only if either A_2 is singular or

$$\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} > 0. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_1(X)$ denote the maximum eigenvalue of an arbitrary hermitian matrix X . If P is any nonsingular n -square matrix and T and B are defined by $S = PTP^*$ and $A = PB P^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}))^2 &= \lambda_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}S^{-1/2}A^*S^{-1/2}) \\ &= \lambda_1(S^{-1}AS^{-1}A^*) \\ &= \lambda_1(P^{*-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}PB P^*P^{*-1}T^{-1}P^{-1}PB^*P^*) \\ &= \lambda_1(T^{-1}BT^{-1}B^*). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Since $A_1 > 0$ and $A_2 \geq 0$, there exists a nonsingular matrix Q such that $QA_1Q^* = I_n$ and $QA_2Q^* = D$, where $d = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ and the $d_i \geq 0$ are the eigenvalues of $A_1^{-1}A_2$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Set $P = Q^{-1}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} T &= QSQ^* \\ &= Q(|\mu_1|A_1 + |\mu_2|A_2)Q^* \\ &= |\mu_1|I_n + |\mu_2|D. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} B &= QAQ^* \\ &= \mu_1I_n + \mu_2D. \end{aligned}$$

Both B and T are diagonal and hence

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}BT^{-1}B^* &= T^{-2}BB^* \\ &= \text{diag} \left(\frac{|\mu_1 + \mu_2 d_1|^2}{(|\mu_1| + |\mu_2|d_1)^2}, \dots, \frac{|\mu_1 + \mu_2 d_n|^2}{(|\mu_1| + |\mu_2|d_n)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (9), $\alpha_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})$ is the largest of the numbers

$$\frac{|\mu_1 + \mu_2 d_t|^2}{(|\mu_1| + |\mu_2 d_t|)^2}, \quad t = 1, \dots, n. \quad (10)$$

By the triangle inequality, each of the numbers (10) is at most 1. If no d_t is 0, then $\alpha_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}) = 1$, if and only if $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$. On the other hand, if any $d_t = 0$, i.e., A_2 is singular, then $\alpha_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}) = 1$ for any μ_1 and μ_2 .

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.

In what follows we shall use the notations, definitions, and results found in Section 3 of our paper [5, pp. 428–431] or in Section 2 of [2, pp. 164–170].

Let $V = V_n$ be the space of n -tuples of complex numbers. We use the standard inner product in V_n . Consider the linear transformations on V defined by $v \rightarrow A_i^T v$, $i = 1, 2$, i.e., the transformations on V_n whose matrix representations on the standard basis $e_t = (\delta_{1t}, \dots, \delta_{nt})$, $t = 1, \dots, n$ are A_i . We shall not distinguish notationally between these linear transformations and the matrices A_i since confusion is not likely to occur. Let $A = \mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2$ and $S = |\mu_1| A_1 + |\mu_2| A_2$. Now, if u is any tensor of unit length in the symmetry class $V_x^m(H)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |(K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})u, u)| &\leq \alpha_1(K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})) \\ &\leq (\alpha_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}))^m \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

by Lemma 1. Now let $w = K(S^{1/2})e^*$, where $e^* = e_1 * \dots * e_n$ (i.e., $m = n$), and set $u = w/\|w\|$. Then, from (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(K(A)e^*, e^*)| &\leq \|K(S^{1/2})e^*\|^2 \\ &= (K(S^{1/2})e^*, K(S^{1/2})e^*) \\ &= (K(S^{1/2})K(S^{1/2})e^*, e^*) \\ &= (K(S)e^*, e^*). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence

$$|d_x^H((Ae_i, e_j))| \leq d_x^H((Se_i, e_j)). \quad (13)$$

However, $(Ae_i, e_j) = a_{ij}$, $(Se_i, e_j) = s_{ij}$, and (13) becomes (2).

Suppose that $A_2 > 0$ and equality holds in (2). Then equality must hold in (12) which, by (11), implies that $\alpha_1(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}) = 1$. Hence, by Theorem 1, $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$. Conversely, if $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$, then (2) is clearly equality for any A_1 and A_2 . It remains to prove that in case $\chi \equiv 1$ the condition $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$ is necessary even when A_2 is singular. Assume then that A is singular and equality holds in (2). Then equality must hold in (12), so that we can write

$$\left| \left(K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}) \frac{w}{\|w\|}, \frac{w}{\|w\|} \right) \right| = 1, \quad (14)$$

where $w = K(S^{1/2})e^*$. But (14) and (11) imply that $\alpha_1(K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})) = 1$. However, in general, if a linear transformation has the property that the value of the quadratic form on a vector is equal in modulus to the maximum singular value, then this vector must be an eigenvector for the transformation. Hence $w/\|w\|$ is an eigenvector of $K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})$ corresponding to some eigenvalue z of unit modulus, i.e.,

$$K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})K(S^{1/2})e^* = zK(S^{1/2})e^*,$$

$$K(A)e^* = zK(S)e^*,$$

or, equivalently,

$$Ae_1 * \cdots * Ae_n = zSe_1 * \cdots * Se_n. \quad (15)$$

It is proved in [4] that (15) implies that there exist constants d_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^n |d_i| = 1$, $Se_j = d_j Ae_{\sigma(j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. This means, of course, that

$$S = AQ,$$

where Q is a monomial (i.e., a product of a permutation transformation and a diagonal transformation), $|\det(Q)| = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} S &= |\mu_1|A_1 + |\mu_2|A_2 \\ &= \mu_1A_1Q + \mu_2A_2Q, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}\det(S) &= |\det(\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2)| |\det(Q)| \\ &= |\det(\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2)|,\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\det(|\mu_1|A_1 + |\mu_2|A_2) = |\det(\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2)|. \quad (16)$$

Let $H = A_1^{-1/2}A_2A_1^{-1/2} \geq 0$ and denote the eigenvalues of H by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n . Then (16) becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (|\mu_1| + |\mu_2|h_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n |\mu_1 + \mu_2 h_i|.$$

But by the triangle inequality each term on the left is greater than or equal to the corresponding term on the right. Since $H \neq 0$, i.e., $A_2 \neq 0$, there exists a t such that $h_t > 0$. Hence $|\mu_1| + |\mu_2|h_t = |\mu_1 + \mu_2 h_t|$ implies that $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$.

To prove Corollary 1, observe that $B = A_1 + A_2$ and $A = A_1 - A_2$, where $A_1 = (A + B)/2$, $A_2 = (B - A)/2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}d_x^H(B) &= d_x^H(A_1 + A_2) \\ &\geq d_x^H(A_1 - A_2) \\ &= d_x^H(A).\end{aligned}$$

We proceed to the proof of Theorem 2. We use the fact that, if X has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, then

$$\operatorname{tr} K(X) = f_{H,X}(X).$$

This is immediate because the eigenvalues of $K(X)$ are the homogeneous products

$$\prod_{t=1}^n \lambda_t^{m_t(\omega)}, \quad \omega \in \bar{J}.$$

Now let v_1, \dots, v_n be an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of S corresponding to the eigenvalues β_1, \dots, β_n , respectively. By (11),

$$\alpha_1(K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})) \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \left| \left(K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2}) \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^*, \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^* \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\prod_{t=1}^n \beta_t^{m_t(\omega)}} \left| \left(K(A) \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^*, \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^* \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Thus, by the discussion immediately following (14), equality can hold for a particular ω if and only if v_ω^* is an eigenvector of $K(S^{-1/2}AS^{-1/2})$ corresponding to an eigenvalue of modulus 1. Thus equality holds for a particular ω , if and only if

$$K(A) \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^* = \xi_\omega \prod_{t=1}^n \beta_t^{m_t(\omega)} \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^*, \quad (18)$$

where $|\xi_\omega| = 1$.

Summing (17) for all $\omega \in \bar{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{H,x}(S) &= \sum_{\omega \in \bar{A}} \prod_{t=1}^n \beta_t^{m_t(\omega)} \\ &\geq \sum_{\omega \in \bar{A}} \left| \left(K(A) \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^*, \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^* \right) \right| \\ &\geq \left| \sum_{\omega \in \bar{A}} \left(K(A) \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^*, \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^* \right) \right| \\ &= |\operatorname{tr} K(A)| \\ &= |f_{H,x}(A)|. \end{aligned}$$

In the preceding calculation we have used the fact that the sum of the quadratic forms for a linear transformation over an orthonormal basis of the space is always equal to the trace. Suppose equality holds in (4). Then (18) must hold for every $\omega \in \bar{A}$. Therefore

$$K(A) \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^* = \xi_\omega K(S) \sqrt{\frac{h}{\nu(\omega)}} v_\omega^*.$$

It follows that

$$K(A) = K(S)D,$$

where $D\sqrt{h/\langle v(\omega) \rangle} v_\omega^* = \xi_\omega \sqrt{h/\langle v(\omega) \rangle} v_\omega^*$, and hence D is a diagonal unitary transformation. Since S and D are nonsingular, we can conclude that A is nonsingular and hence has a unique polar factorization: $A = HQ$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K(A) &= K(H)K(Q) \\ &= K(S)D, \end{aligned}$$

so that $K(Q) = D$ and hence

$$K(A) = K(SQ). \quad (19)$$

It follows [4] that Q is a monomial, i.e., a generalized permutation, and since D is diagonal we conclude that Q is diagonal also. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= DD^* \\ &= K(Q)K(Q^*) \\ &= K(QQ^*) \end{aligned}$$

and thus Q is unitary. From (19) it follows that $A = \theta SQ$ for some θ , $\theta^m = 1$, i.e., for the matrices A_1 and A_2 we have

$$\mu_1 A_1 + \mu_2 A_2 = \theta(|\mu_1|A_1 + |\mu_2|A_2)Q.$$

Since $A_1 > 0$ and $A_2 \geq 0$, $A_2 \neq 0$, there exists an integer t such that

$$\eta(\mu_1(A_1)_{tt} + \mu_2(A_2)_{tt}) = |\mu_1|(A_1)_{tt} + |\mu_2|(A_2)_{tt},$$

where $|\eta| = 1$, $(A_1)_{tt} > 0$ and $(A_2)_{tt} > 0$. Thus

$$|\mu_1(A_1)_{tt} + \mu_2(A_2)_{tt}| = |\mu_1|(A_1)_{tt} + |\mu_2|(A_2)_{tt},$$

and it follows by the triangle inequality that $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$. If $\mu_1/\mu_2 > 0$, then equality clearly holds in (4).

To prove Corollary 2 we simply specialize (4) to the case $H = S_m$, $\chi = \text{sgn}$.

REFERENCES

- 1 William M. Frank, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**(1965), 360–363.
- 2 Marvin Marcus, Lengths of tensors, in *Inequalities*, Academic Press, New York, 1967, pp. 163–176.
- 3 Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **116**(1965), 316–329.
- 4 Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, *J. Algebra* **5**(1967), 59–71.
- 5 Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **1**(1968), 421–444.

Received September, 1970